

NOTE ON STRICT-DOUBLE-BOUND GRAPHS AND NUMBERS

KENJIRO OGAWA, SATOSHI TAGUSARI AND MORIMASA TSUCHIYA *

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Tokai University

Hiratsuka 259-1292, JAPAN.

e-mail: morimasa@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp

Communicated by: S. Arumugam

Received 26 December 2011; accepted 21 September 2013

Abstract

For a poset $P = (X, \leq_P)$, the *strict-double-bound graph* (*sDB-graph* $sDB(P)$) is the graph on X for which u and v are adjacent if and only if $u \neq v$ and there exist elements $x, y \in X$ distinct from u and v such that $x \leq u \leq y$ and $x \leq v \leq y$. The *strict-double-bound number* $\zeta(G)$ of a graph G is defined as $\min\{n; G \cup \overline{K_n}$ is a strict-double-bound graph $\}$.

We prove bounds for strict-double-bound numbers of some graphs. That is, $\zeta(K_{1,n}) = \lceil 2\sqrt{n} \rceil$, and for a non-trivial tree T , $\zeta(T) \leq \sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T)} \lceil 2\sqrt{\deg_T(v)} \rceil - 2(|\text{IN}(T)| - 1)$, where $\text{IN}(T)$ is the vertex set of non-leaves of T .

Keywords: double bound graph, strict-double-bound graph, strict-double-bound number.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C62.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider finite undirected simple graphs. \overline{G} is the complement of a graph G . A *clique* in a graph G is the vertex set of a maximal complete subgraph of G . A family $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_l\}$ is an *edge cover* of G if each Q_i is a vertex subset of G , and for $uv \in E(G)$, there exists $Q_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $u, v \in Q_i$. In particular a family $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_l\}$ is an *edge clique cover* of G if each Q_i is a clique of G , and \mathcal{Q} is an edge cover of G . For a graph G and $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\langle S \rangle_G$ is the induced subgraph on S . For a graph G and $v \in V(G)$, $N_G(v) = \{u; uv \in E(G)\}$.

For a poset $P = (X, \leq_P)$ and an element $x \in X$ of P , we put $U_P(x) = \{y \in X; x \leq_P y\}$ and $L_P(x) = \{y \in X; y \leq_P x\}$, and denote by $\text{Max}(P)$ the set of all maximal elements of P and $\text{Min}(P)$ the set of all minimal elements of P .

McMorris and Zaslavsky [4] introduced a concept of double bound graphs. Diny [2] characterized double bound graphs.

*Corresponding author

We consider strict-double-bound graphs and strict-double-bound numbers. For a poset $P = (X, \leq)$, the *strict-double-bound graph* (*sDB-graph*) of $P = (X, \leq)$ is the graph $\text{sDB}(P)$ on X for which u and v are adjacent if and only if $u \neq v$ and there exist elements $x, y \in X$ distinct from u and v such that $x \leq u \leq y$ and $x \leq v \leq y$. We say that a graph G is a *strict-double-bound graph* if there exists a poset whose strict-double-bound graph is isomorphic to G .

Maximal elements and minimal elements of posets are isolated vertices of sDB-graphs. So a connected graph is not an sDB-graph. Era, Tsuchiya [3] and Scott [5] dealt with strict-double-bound graphs. Scott [5] gave the following result.

Proposition 1.1. [5] *Any graph that is the disjoint union of a non-trivial component and a large enough number of isolated vertices is a strict-double-bound graph.*

We introduce the strict-double-bound number of a graph G . The *strict-double-bound number* $\zeta(G)$ of a graph G is defined as $\min\{n ; G \cup \overline{K_n} \text{ is a strict-double-bound graph}\}$. In this paper, we consider strict-double-bound numbers of some families of graphs.

2. Strict-double-bound numbers

Scott [5] obtained the following result, using a concept of transitive double competition numbers.

Theorem 2.1. [5] *For a non-trivial connected graph G , and a minimal edge clique cover \mathcal{Q} , $\lceil 2\sqrt{|\mathcal{Q}|} \rceil \leq \zeta(G) \leq |\mathcal{Q}| + 1$.*

Proposition 2.2 shows that there exists a graph G with $\zeta(G) = \lceil 2\sqrt{|\mathcal{Q}|} \rceil$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a non-trivial graph. If G has a minimal edge clique cover $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_l\}$ such that there exists a non-maximal complete subgraph $H \neq \emptyset$ satisfying*

1. $Q_i \cap Q_j = V(H)$ for each pair $Q_i, Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$, and
2. $Q_i - V(H) \neq \emptyset$ for all $Q_i \in \mathcal{Q}$,

then $\zeta(G) = \lceil 2\sqrt{|\mathcal{Q}|} \rceil$.

Proof. We consider two cases on $\lceil 2\sqrt{|\mathcal{Q}|} \rceil = \lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil$.

Case 1. $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil$ is even.

Let $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil = 2n$. Then $n^2 - n + 1 \leq l \leq n^2$. We construct an edge cover \mathcal{Q}' from \mathcal{Q} as follows:

$\mathcal{Q}' = \{Q_{1,1}, Q_{1,2}, \dots, Q_{1,n}, Q_{2,1}, Q_{2,2}, \dots, Q_{2,n}, \dots, Q_{n,1}, Q_{n,2}, \dots, Q_{n,n}\}$ such that

- (1) $Q_{i,j} = Q_{(i-1)n+j}$ for $i < n$, or $i = n$ and $n^2 - n + j \leq l$ and
- (2) $Q_{n,k} = V(H)$ for $n^2 - n + k > l$.

We construct a poset P from \mathcal{Q}' as follows:

- (1) $V(P) = V(G) \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$,
- (2) for $i, j, y_j \leq_P x_i$, and
- (3) for $v \in Q_{i,j}, y_j \leq_P v \leq_P x_i$.

Then $\text{sDB}(P) = G \cup \overline{K_{2n}}$. Since $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil = 2n$, $\zeta(G) \leq \lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil$. By Theorem 2.1, $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil \leq \zeta(G)$. So $\zeta(G) = \lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil$.

Case 2. $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil$ is odd.

Let $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil = 2n - 1$. Then $n^2 - 2n + 2 \leq l \leq n^2 - n$. We construct an edge cover \mathcal{Q}' from \mathcal{Q} as follows: $\mathcal{Q}' = \{Q_{1,1}, Q_{1,2}, \dots, Q_{1,n}, Q_{2,1}, Q_{2,2}, \dots, Q_{2,n}, \dots, Q_{n-1,1}, Q_{n-1,2}, \dots, Q_{n-1,n}\}$ such that

- (1) $Q_{i,j} = Q_{(i-1)n+j}$ for $i < n - 1$, or $i = n - 1$ and $n^2 - 2n + j \leq l$, and
- (2) $Q_{n-1,k} = V(H)$ for $n^2 - 2n + k > l$.

Similar to Case 1, we construct a poset P from \mathcal{Q}' . Then $\text{sDB}(P) = G \cup \overline{K_{2n-1}}$. Since $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil = 2n - 1$, $\zeta(G) \leq \lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil$. By Theorem 2.1, $\lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil \leq \zeta(G)$. So $\zeta(G) = \lceil 2\sqrt{l} \rceil$. \square

We have the following result by Proposition 2.2. Let v be the center vertex of $K_{1,n}$ and $E(K_{1,n}) = \{vv_i ; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $\mathcal{Q} = \{\{v, v_i\} ; vv_i \in E(K_{1,n}), i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is an edge clique cover of $K_{1,n}$ satisfying the condition of Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. For a star graph $K_{1,n}$, $\zeta(K_{1,n}) = \lceil 2\sqrt{n} \rceil$.

Bergstrand and Jones [1] dealt with novas in the study of upper bound graphs. A *nova* $\text{NOVA}(n)$ is a graph obtained from a star $K_{1,n}$ ($n \geq 1$) by replacing each edge with a complete graph with at least two vertices. We have the following result by Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 2.4. For a nova $\text{NOVA}(n)$, $\zeta(\text{NOVA}(n)) = \lceil 2\sqrt{n} \rceil$.

3. Strict-double-bound number of graphs with bridges

Next we consider upper bounds of strict-double-bound numbers of graphs with bridges.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a graph with a bridge $e = xy$, where the degrees of x and y are greater than or equal to 2. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_l\}$ be the set of components of $G - \{x, y\}$. For a partition $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ of \mathcal{H} , let G_k be an induced subgraph $\langle (\bigcup_{H_i \in \mathcal{H}_k} V(H_i)) \cup \{x, y\} \rangle_G$ for $k = 1, 2$. Then $\zeta(G) \leq \zeta(G_1) + \zeta(G_2) - 2$.*

Proof. For $k = 1, 2$, let P_k be a poset whose $\text{sDB}(P_k) \cong G_k \cup \overline{K_{l_k}}$, where $l_k = \zeta(G_k)$. Since $xy \in E(G_k)$, there exists a pair of vertices $m_k \in U_{P_k}(x) \cap U_{P_k}(y) \cap \text{Max}(P_k)$ and $n_k \in L_{P_k}(x) \cap L_{P_k}(y) \cap \text{Min}(P_k)$. Then we construct a poset P such that

- (1) $V(P) = (V(P_1) - \{m_1, n_1\}) \cup (V(P_2) - \{m_2, n_2\}) \cup \{m, n\}$,
- (2-1) $u \leq_P m$ if $u \in L_{P_k}(m_k)$,
- (2-2) $n \leq_P v$ if $v \in U_{P_k}(n_k)$, and
- (2-3) $u \leq_P v$ if $u, v \in V(P_k)$ and $u \leq_{P_k} v$.

For $u, v \in V(P_k)$, $U_{P_k}(u) \cap U_{P_k}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $L_{P_k}(u) \cap L_{P_k}(v) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $U_P(u) \cap U_P(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $L_P(u) \cap L_P(v) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $uv \in E(\text{sDB}(P_k))$ if and only if $uv \in E(\text{sDB}(P))$. By the definition of P ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_P(m) &= (L_{P_1}(m_1) - \{n_1\}) \cup (L_{P_2}(m_2) - \{n_2\}) \cup \{n\}, \quad \text{and} \\ U_P(n) &= (U_{P_1}(n_1) - \{m_1\}) \cup (U_{P_2}(n_2) - \{m_2\}) \cup \{m\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} L_P(m) \cap U_P(n) &= ((L_{P_1}(m_1) - \{n_1\}) \cup (L_{P_2}(m_2) - \{n_2\})) \\ &\quad \cap ((U_{P_1}(n_1) - \{m_1\}) \cup (U_{P_2}(n_2) - \{m_2\})) \\ &= \{x, y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x, y\}$ is a clique of G_k ($k=1,2$), for $s \in \text{Max}(P_k)$ and $t \in \text{Min}(P_k)$, if $L_{P_k}(s) \cap U_{P_k}(t) \supseteq \{x, y\}$, then $L_{P_k}(s) \cap U_{P_k}(t) = \{x, y\}$.

For $s_1 \in \text{Max}(P_1) - \{m_1\}$ and $t_2 \in \text{Min}(P_2) - \{n_2\}$, $L_P(s_1) \cap U_P(t_2) \subseteq \{x, y\}$.

For $s_2 \in \text{Max}(P_2) - \{m_2\}$ and $t_1 \in \text{Min}(P_1) - \{n_1\}$, $L_P(s_2) \cap U_P(t_1) \subseteq \{x, y\}$.

Thus $\{x, y\}$ is also a clique of $\text{sDB}(P)$.

Since for $u \in V(P_1) - \{x, y\}$ and $v \in V(P_2) - \{x, y\}$, $U_P(u) \cap U_P(v) = \emptyset$ or $L_P(u) \cap L_P(v) = \emptyset$, $uv \notin E(\text{sDB}(P))$. Hence $\text{sDB}(P)$ is constructed by G with some isolated

vertices. In $\text{sDB}(P)$ the number of isolated vertices is

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Max}(P) \cup \text{Min}(P)| &= |((\text{Max}(P_1) \cup \text{Max}(P_2) - \{m_1, m_2\}) \cup \{m\}) \\
&\quad \cup ((\text{Min}(P_1) \cup \text{Min}(P_2) - \{n_1, n_2\}) \cup \{n\})| \\
&= |\text{Max}(P_1) \cup \text{Min}(P_1) - \{m_1, n_1\}| \\
&\quad + |\text{Max}(P_2) \cup \text{Min}(P_2) - \{m_2, n_2\}| + |\{m, n\}| \\
&= (\zeta(G_1) - 2) + (\zeta(G_2) - 2) + 2 \\
&= \zeta(G_1) + \zeta(G_2) - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since there exists a poset P_G that $\text{sDB}(P_G) \cong G \cup \overline{K}_l$, where $l = \zeta(G_1) + \zeta(G_2) - 2$, we obtain that $\zeta(G) \leq \zeta(G_1) + \zeta(G_2) - 2$. \square

Since every edge of a tree is a bridge, we obtain the following result by Proposition 3.1. For a tree T , $\text{IN}(T) = \{v \in V(T) ; \deg_T(v) \geq 2\}$ is the vertex set of non-leaves of T .

Proposition 3.2. *For a non-trivial tree T ,*

$$\zeta(T) \leq \sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T)} \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\deg_T(v)} \right\rceil - 2(|\text{IN}(T)| - 1).$$

Proof. We use induction on $l = |\text{IN}(T)|$. In the case $l = 0$, $T \cong K_2$ and $\zeta(T) = \zeta(K_2) = 2$. In the case $l = 1$, T is isomorphic to $K_{1, \deg_T(v)}$, where $v \in \text{IN}(T)$. By Corollary 2.3, $\zeta(T) = \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\deg_T(v)} \right\rceil$.

We assume that $l = |\text{IN}(T)| \geq 2$. Let $v_1 v_2$ be an edge in T such that $v_1, v_2 \in \text{IN}(T)$. For the set of components $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_l\}$ of $T - \{v_1, v_2\}$, let $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ be a partition of \mathcal{H} such that $H_i \in \mathcal{H}_k$ if $V(H_i) \cap N_T(v_k) \neq \emptyset$ for $k = 1, 2$. Then for $k = 1, 2$, the induced subgraph $T_k = \langle (\bigcup_{H_i \in \mathcal{H}_k} V(H_i)) \cup \{v_1, v_2\} \rangle_G$ is a tree. Then $\text{IN}(T) = \text{IN}(T_1) \cup \text{IN}(T_2)$, and $|\text{IN}(T_k)| < |\text{IN}(T)|$. By the induction hypothesis $\zeta(T_k) \leq \sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T_k)} \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\deg_{T_k}(v)} \right\rceil - 2(|\text{IN}(T_k)| - 1)$. By Proposition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(T) &\leq \zeta(T_1) + \zeta(T_2) - 2 \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T_1)} \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\deg_{T_1}(v)} \right\rceil - 2(|\text{IN}(T_1)| - 1) \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T_2)} \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\deg_{T_2}(v)} \right\rceil - 2(|\text{IN}(T_2)| - 1) \right) - 2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T_1)} \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\text{deg}_{T_1}(v)} \right\rceil + \sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T_2)} \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\text{deg}_{T_2}(v)} \right\rceil \\
&\quad - 2(|\text{IN}(T_1)| + |\text{IN}(T_2)| - 1) \\
&= \sum_{v \in \text{IN}(T)} \left\lceil 2\sqrt{\text{deg}_T(v)} \right\rceil - 2(|\text{IN}(T)| - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgment.

The authors wish to thank Prof. H.Era for his helpful comments.

References

- [1] D. J. Bergstrand and K. F. Jones, On upper bound graphs of partially ordered sets, *Congr. Numer.*, **66** (1988), 185–194.
- [2] D. Diny, The double bound graph of a partially ordered set, *J. Comb. Inf. Syst. Sci.*, **10** (1985), 52–56.
- [3] H. Era and M. Tsuchiya, On double bound graphs whose complements are also double bound graphs, *Proc. Sch. Sci. Tokai Univ.*, **31** (1996), 25–29.
- [4] F.R. McMorris and T. Zaslavsky, Bound graphs of a partially ordered set, *J. Comb. Inf. Syst. Sci.* **7** (1982), 134–138.
- [5] D.D. Scott, The competition-common enemy graph of a digraph, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **17** (1987), 269–280.