

FACTORIZATIONS OF COMPLETE GRAPHS INTO $[n, r, s, 2]$ -CATERPILLARS OF DIAMETER 5 WITH MAXIMUM END

MICHAEL KUBESA

Department of Applied Mathematics

Technical University Ostrava

17.listopadu, Ostrava-Poruba 708 33, Czech Republic

e-mail: *michael.kubesa@vsb.cz*

Communicated by: Joseph Gallian

Received 10 January 2006; revised 25 May 2006; accepted 06 November 2006

Abstract

A tree R such that after deleting all leaves we obtain a path P is called a *caterpillar*. The path P is called the *spine* of the caterpillar R . If the spine has length 3 and R on $2n$ vertices contains vertices of degrees $n, r, s, 2$, where $r, s > 2$, and if a vertex of degree n is an endvertex of the spine then we say that R is an $[n, r, s, 2]$ -*caterpillar with maximum end* of diameter 5. We completely characterize $[2k + 1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillars of order $4k + 2$ and diameter 5 with maximum end that factorize the complete graph K_{4k+2} .

Keywords: Decompositions and factorizations of complete graphs, spanning trees, blended ρ -labeling, caterpillars

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C70, 05C78

1. Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with at most n vertices. A graph H with n vertices has a *decomposition* into subgraphs $G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ if each edge of H belongs to exactly one G_i . When all subgraphs $G_i, 0 \leq i \leq s$, are isomorphic to a graph G , we say that H has a G -*decomposition*. If G has exactly n vertices and none of them is isolated, then G is called a *factor* and the decomposition is called a G -*factorization* of H .

Graph factorizations have been extensively studied for many years. Special attention has been paid to isomorphic factorizations. Among graphs whose G -factorizations have been sought, the most popular ones are the obvious candidates—complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs (see, e.g., [2]). In this paper we concentrate on isomorphic factorizations of complete graphs into spanning trees and in particular into spanning caterpillars of diameter 5.

A simple arithmetic condition shows that only complete graphs with an even number of vertices can be factorized into spanning trees. Moreover, every spanning tree, which factorizes K_{2n} , satisfies the *maximum degree condition*, which means that for each vertex v in such a tree on $2n$ vertices it holds that $\deg(v) \leq n$.

It is a part of graph theory folklore that each graph K_{2n} can be factorized into hamiltonian paths P_{2n} . On the other hand, it is easy to observe that each K_{2n} can be also factorized into double stars; that is, two stars $K_{1,n-1}$ joined by an edge with the endvertices in the centers of both stars. The first attempt to fill the gap between these two extremal cases was P. Eldergill's thesis [1], where he dealt with symmetric trees. Some classes of non-symmetric trees were examined by Fronček [3,4], Fronček and the author [6], and by the author [7]. Other papers on caterpillars of diameter 5 of types not included in this paper are under preparation. In [5] Fronček proves that certain classes of caterpillars of diameter 4 and 5 do not factorize complete graphs of order $2n$.

Results in this paper together with results in [5] give a complete characterization of certain class of caterpillars of order $4k+2$ and diameter 5, called [$2k+1, r, s, 2$]-*caterpillars with maximum end* that factorize K_{4k+2} . An exact definition of this caterpillar will be given in Section 2.

The labeling used in constructions in this paper exists only for graphs with $4k+2$ vertices. Therefore, we examine just a special class of caterpillars of diameter 5, namely the caterpillars of order $4k+2$ with an endvertex of the spine of degree $2k+1$ and with exactly one vertex of degree 2. The reason why we do not present a more general class here is that the remaining caterpillars with one vertex of degree 2 and the caterpillars with two or none vertices of degree 2 require many different constructions, which are too long for one article. The results for the remaining classes are already submitted or in preparation.

2. Definitions and notation

A *labeling* of G with at most $2n+1$ vertices is an injection $\lambda : V(G) \rightarrow S$, where S is often a subset of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 2n\}$ —however, in this paper we have $S = \{0_0, 1_0, \dots, (n-1)_0, 0_1, 1_1, \dots, (n-1)_1\}$. The labels of vertices u, v , denoted $\lambda(u) = i, \lambda(v) = j$, respectively, where $i, j \in S$, induce uniquely the *length* $\ell(e)$ of the edge $e = (u, v)$ with endvertices u, v . All labelings used here are generalizations of labelings introduced by A. Rosa [9,10].

The following definition was introduced in [4].

Let T be a tree with $2n = 4k+2$ vertices, $V(T) = V_0 \cup V_1, V_0 \cap V_1 = \emptyset$, and $|V_0| = |V_1| = 2k+1$. Notice that the sets V_0 and V_1 are *not* the partite sets of T . Because we are factorizing the complete graph into isomorphic spanning trees, every vertex of the complete graph appears in every factor. Therefore, the labeling is a bijection from $V(T)$ to $\{0_0, 1_0, \dots, (n-1)_0, 0_1, 1_1, \dots, (n-1)_1\}$ and V_0 is the set of vertices labeled $0_0, 1_0, \dots, (n-1)_0$, and V_1 is the set of vertices labeled $0_1, 1_1, \dots, (n-1)_1$. Let λ be an bijection, $\lambda : V_i \rightarrow \{0_i, 1_i, 2_i, \dots, (2k)_i\}, i = 0, 1$.

The *pure length* of an edge (x_i, y_i) with $x_i, y_i \in V_i, i \in \{0, 1\}$ is defined as follows: If $\lambda(x_i) = a_i$ and $\lambda(y_i) = b_i$, then $\ell_{ii}(x_i, y_i) = \min\{|a - b|, 2k + 1 - |a - b|\}$ for $i = 0, 1$.

The *mixed length* of an edge (x_0, y_1) with $\lambda(x_0) = a_0$ and $\lambda(y_1) = b_1$, is defined as $\ell_{01}(x_0, y_1) = b - a \pmod{2k + 1}$ for $x_0 \in V_0, y_1 \in V_1$.

We say that T has a *blended ρ -labeling* or just *blended labeling* if

- (1) $\{\ell_{ii}(x_i, y_i) | (x_i, y_i) \in E(T)\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for $i = 0, 1$,
- (2) $\{\ell_{01}(x_0, y_1) | (x_0, y_1) \in E(T)\} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$.

To simplify our notation, we often unify vertices with their respective labels. We will say “a vertex a_i ” rather than “a vertex x with $\lambda(x) = a_i$ ”. Similarly, we will say “an edge (a_i, b_j) ” rather than “an edge xy , where $\lambda(x) = a_i$ and $\lambda(y) = b_j$ ”. We will call an edge (a_i, b_j) a *pure 00-edge* if $i = j = 0$, *pure 11-edge* if $i = j = 1$ and a *mixed 01-edge* if $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$.

Notice that the lengths of pure and mixed edges are computed differently. Suppose we have the complete graph K_{14} with the vertex labels $0_0, 1_0, \dots, 6_0, 0_1, 1_1, \dots, 6_1$. Then both the edges $(1_0, 3_0)$ and $(1_0, 6_0)$ have the pure length 2. On the other hand, the edge $(1_0, 3_1)$ has the mixed length 2 while the edge $(1_1, 3_0)$ has the mixed length 5. Similarly, the edge $(1_0, 6_1)$ has the mixed length 5 while the edge $(6_0, 1_1)$ has the mixed length 2.

It was proved in [4] that a tree T of order $4k + 2$ with a blended labeling allows a T -factorization of K_{4k+2} .

We want to characterize some classes of trees on $4k + 2$ vertices of diameter 5, which allow a blended ρ -labeling. Since the factorization into hamiltonian paths P_{4k+2} is well-known, we start our work with the caterpillars. From now on we will only consider caterpillars with $4k + 2$ vertices.

A tree R such that after deleting all leaves we obtain a path P or a trivial graph is called a *caterpillar*. The path P is called the *spine* of the caterpillar R .

It is clear that the caterpillars on $4k + 2$ vertices of diameter 2 are the stars $K_{1,4k+1}$, which clearly do not satisfy the maximum degree condition. The caterpillars of order $4k + 2$ with diameter 3 are the double stars mentioned above. Therefore, the first interesting case is the class of caterpillars of diameter 4. The results obtained in [5] and [7] give the complete characterization of the caterpillars of order $4k + 2$ with diameter 4, which factorize the complete graphs K_{4k+2} . Hence, we continue with the caterpillars on $4k + 2$ vertices of diameter 5. Recall that if R is a *caterpillar of diameter 5* then the spine of R has four vertices.

Let the spine of a caterpillar R of diameter 5 have vertices A, a, b, B and edges Aa, ab, bB . Then we see that the endvertices of the spine of R of diameter 5 are denoted by A, B and the internal vertices are denoted by a, b . If $\deg(A) = d_1, \deg(a) = d_2, \deg(b) = d_3, \deg(B) = d_4$, then such a caterpillar will be called a (d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) -*caterpillar*. If we

specify just the degrees of the vertices, say as $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3 \geq r_4$, without specifying their location on the spine, then we will denote R as an $[r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4]$ -caterpillar.

If $\deg A = 2k + 1$ or $\deg B = 2k + 1$ and R has $4k + 2$ vertices, then we call this caterpillar a $[2k + 1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillar with maximum end. Recall that no vertex of R of order $2n$ that factorizes K_{2n} can have degree more than n .

Notice that we deal only with trees with $4k + 2$ vertices, since trees with $4k$ vertices do not allow a blended labeling (see [6]). A complete characterization of $[r, s, 2, 2]$ -caterpillars of order $4k + 2$ and diameter 5, where $3 \leq r, s \leq 2k + 1$, was given in [5] and [8]. Recall that we know that every caterpillar with $2n$ vertices and diameter 5 that factorizes K_{2n} and has exactly one vertex of degree 2 must contain a vertex of degree at least $n - 1$ (see [5]). Further, recall that we do not present here a more general class of the caterpillars of order $4k + 2$ and diameter 5 that factorize K_{4k+2} because the proofs require many different and very long constructions. The results for the remaining classes are already in preparation.

We conclude this section with the main result of this paper that will be proved in Section 3.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a $[2k + 1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillar of order $4k + 2$ and diameter 5 with maximum end, where $2 < r, s < 2k - 1$ and $r + s = 2k + 1$. Then R factorizes K_{4k+2} for $k \geq 3$ if and only if R is not isomorphic to $(2k + 1, 2, r, s)$ -caterpillar.*

3. $[2k + 1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillars for $r, s > 2$

We will use the following results to prove Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. [5] *An $(n, 2, r, s)$ -caterpillar does not factorize K_{2n} for any $2 < r, s < 2k - 1$.*

Lemma 3.2. [7] *Let T be a tree with a blended ρ -labeling λ and x, y be arbitrary vertices of T such that $x \in V_0$ and $y \in V_1$. Then there exists a blended ρ -labeling λ' such that $\lambda'(x) = 0_0$ and $\lambda'(y) = 0_1$.*

The proof is straightforward and can be found in [7].

Lemma 3.3. [8] *Let T be a tree on $4k + 2$ vertices, which allows a blended ρ -labeling. Then $\sum_{i \in V_0} \deg(i) = \sum_{j \in V_1} \deg(j) = 4k + 1$.*

The proof can be found in [8].

It is clear that for $k \leq 2$ there does not exist a $[2k + 1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillar, therefore we will further consider just $[2k + 1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillars of order $4k + 2$, where $k \geq 3$. We see that all $[2k + 1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillars of order $4k + 2$ with maximum end are isomorphic either to $(2k + 1, 2, m + 2, 2k - m - 1)$ - or $(2k + 1, m + 2, 2, 2k - m - 1)$ - or $(2k + 1, m + 2, 2k - m - 1, 2)$ -caterpillar if $r = m + 2, s = 2k - m - 1$ and $1 \leq m \leq 2k - 4$.

Recall that every tree T with a blended labeling has vertices labeled so that $V_0 = \{0_0, 1_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$, $V_1 = \{0_1, 1_1, \dots, (2k)_1\}$ and $V(T) = V_0 \cup V_1$, $V_0 \cap V_1 = \emptyset$. Therefore in all following constructions we assume that the vertices are already labeled and then join them by edges, keeping in mind that we need to construct the $[2k+1, r, s, 2]$ -caterpillar with maximum end while obtaining exactly one edge of each mixed length from 0 to $2k$ and exactly one edge of every pure length from 1 to k in each set V_i for $i = 0, 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *A $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar allows a blended ρ -labeling for every m , $1 \leq m \leq 2k-3$, and every odd k , $k \geq 3$.*

Proof. By constructions. Notice that for some values of m in the following constructions it can happen that we get an edge sequence of type $(x_i, a_j), (x_i, (a+1)_j), (x_i, (a+2)_j), \dots, (x_i, b_j)$, where $a > b$ and $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$. In this case this sequence is indeed empty.

Case 1. Let $k = 2q+1$ and let m be even if $2 \leq m \leq k-1$, or m be odd if $k \leq m \leq 2k-3$.

Subcase 1.1 Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, where $m = 2p$ and $2 \leq m \leq k-1$. Furthermore, let $A = 0_0, a = k_1, b = (2k)_1, B = 0_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length k and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 1$. We attach each vertex from the sets $\{(k+1)_0, (k+2)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1\}$ by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain pure 00-edges of lengths $k, k-1, \dots, 1$ and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Now we join k_0 by an edge to k_1 . We have a mixed edge of length 0. Then we attach each vertex from the set $\{(k+q-p+2)_1, (k+q-p+3)_1, \dots, (k+q+p)_1\}$ by an edge to the vertex k_1 and each vertex from the sets $\{(k+2)_1, (k+3)_1, \dots, (k+q-p+1)_1\}$ and $\{(k+q+p+1)_1, (k+q+p+2)_1, \dots, (2k-1)_1\}$ to the vertex 0_1 . Hence, we obtain pure 11-edges of lengths $q-p+2, q-p+3, \dots, q+p$ and 11-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, q+p+1$ and $q-p+1, q-p, \dots, 2$. Finally we join the vertices from the set $\{1_0, 2_0, \dots, (k-1)_0\}$ each by an edge to 0_1 and we obtain mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, k+2$.

Subcase 1.2 Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, $k \leq m \leq 2k-3$, $m-(k-1) = 2p+1$. Furthermore, let $A = 0_0, a = k_1, b = (2k)_1, B = 0_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length k and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 1$. We attach $(k+1)_0$ and $1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1$ each by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain a pure 00-edge of length k and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Now we join each of the vertices from the set $\{k_0, (k+2)_1, (k+3)_1, \dots, (2k-1)_1\}$ by an edge to k_1 . We have a mixed edge of length 0 and 11-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, 2$. Further we join each vertex from the sets $\{1_0, 2_0, \dots, (q-p)_0\}$, $\{(q+p+2)_0, (q+p+3)_0, \dots, (k-1)_0\}$ by an edge to the vertex 0_1 and each vertex from the set $\{(k+q-p+1)_0, (k+q-p+2)_0, \dots, (k+q+p+1)_0\}$ to the vertex k_1 . Recall that $m-(k-1) = 2p+1$. In this way, we obtain mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, 3q+p+3$ and $3q-p+1, 3q-p, \dots, k+2$, and $3q+p+2, 3q+p+1, \dots, 3q-p+2$. Thus we have mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, k+2$. Finally we attach every vertex from the sets $\{(q-p+1)_0, (q-p+2)_0, \dots, (q+p+1)_0\}$, $\{(k+2)_0, (k+3)_0, \dots, (k+q-p)_0\}$ and $\{(k+q+p+2)_0, (k+q+p+3)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ by an edge to 0_0 and obtain 00-edges of

lengths $q-p+1, q-p+2, \dots, q+p+1$ and $k-1, k-2, \dots, q+p+2$, and $q-p, q-p-1, \dots, 1$. Hence, we have pure 00-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, 1$.

It is easy to check that both previous $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillars have a blended ρ -labeling and the vertices A, a, b, B are of the desired degrees.

Case 2. Let $k = 2q+1$ and m be odd if $1 \leq m \leq k-2$ or even if $k-1 \leq m \leq 2k-4$. If we replace the 11-edge $(k_1, (k+q+1)_1)$ of length $q+1$ by the 11-edge $(0_1, (k+q+1)_1)$ of length $q+1$ in the constructions in *Subcase 1.1* and *Subcase 1.2*, then we obtain the proof for this case. \square

Lemma 3.5. *A $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar allows a blended ρ -labeling for every m , $1 \leq m \leq 2k-4$, and every even k , $k \geq 3$.*

Proof. By constructions. Let $k = 2q$.

Case 1. Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, where $m = 2p+1$ and $1 \leq m \leq k-1$. Furthermore, let $A = 0_0, a = k_1, b = (2k)_1, B = 0_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length k and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 1$. We attach each vertex from the sets $\{(k+1)_0, (k+2)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1\}$ by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain pure 00-edges of lengths $k, k-1, \dots, 1$ and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Now we join k_0 by an edge to k_1 . We have a mixed edge of length 0. Then we attach each vertex from the set $\{(k+q-p+1)_1, (k+q-p+2)_1, \dots, (k+q+p)_1\}$ by an edge to k_1 . We obtain 11-edges of lengths $q-p+1, q-p+2, \dots, q+p$. Further we attach every vertex from the sets $\{(k+2)_1, (k+3)_1, \dots, (k+q-p)_1\}$ and $\{(k+p+q+1)_1, (k+p+q+2)_1, \dots, (2k-1)_1\}$ by an edge to 0_1 . Hence, we obtain pure 11-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, q+p+1$ and $q-p, q-p-1, \dots, 2$. Finally we join $1_0, 2_0, \dots, (k-1)_0$ each by an edge to 0_1 and we obtain mixed 01-edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, k+2$.

Case 2. Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, where m is odd, $k \leq m \leq 2k-3$ and $m-(k-1) = 2p$. Furthermore, let $A = 0_0, a = k_1, b = (2k)_1, B = 0_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length k and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 1$. We attach the vertex $(k+1)_0$ to 0_0 and every vertex from the set $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1\}$ by an edge each also to the vertex 0_0 . We obtain a pure 00-edge of length k and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Now we join the vertices $k_0, (k+2)_1, (k+3)_1, \dots, (2k-1)_1$ by an edge each to k_1 . We have a mixed edge of length 0 and 11-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, 2$. Further we join each vertex from the sets $\{1_0, 2_0, \dots, (q-p)_0\}$, $\{(q+p+1)_0, (q+p+2)_0, \dots, (k-1)_0\}$ by an edge to the vertex 0_1 and each vertex from the set $\{(k+q-p+1)_0, (k+q-p+2)_0, \dots, (k+q+p)_0\}$ to the vertex k_1 . Recall that $m-(k-1) = 2p$. This way we obtain mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, 3q+p+3$ and $3q-p+2, 3q-p+1, \dots, k+2$, and $3q+p+2, 3q+p+1, \dots, 3q-p+3$. Thus we have mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, k+2$. Finally we attach every vertex from the sets $\{(q-p+1)_0, (q-p+2)_0, \dots, (q+p)_0\}$, $\{(k+2)_0, (k+3)_0, \dots, (k+q-p)_0\}$ and $\{(k+q+p+1)_0, (k+q+p+2)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ by an edge to 0_0 and obtain 00-edges of

lengths $q-p+1, q-p+2, \dots, q+p$ and $k-1, k-2, \dots, q+p+1$, and $q-p, q-p-1, \dots, 1$. Hence, we have pure 00-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, 1$.

Case 3. Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, where m is even, $k \leq m \leq 2k-4$ and $m-k=2p$. Furthermore, let $A=0_0, a=0_1, b=(2k)_1, B=k_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length 0 and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 1$. We attach each vertex from the sets $\{k_0, (k+2)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1\}$ by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain pure 00-edges of lengths $k, k-1, \dots, 1$ and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Then we attach each vertex from the sets $\{(k+q-p+1)_1, (k+q-p+2)_1, \dots, (k+q+p)_1\}$ and $\{1_0, 2_0, \dots, (k-1)_0, (k+1)_0\}$ by an edge to 0_1 . We obtain 11-edges of lengths $q+p, q+p-1, \dots, q-p+1$ and mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, k+2, k$. Further we attach every vertex from the sets $\{(k+2)_1, (k+3)_1, \dots, (k+q-p)_1\}$ and $\{(k+q+p+1)_1, (k+q+p+2)_1, \dots, (2k-1)_1\}$ by an edge to k_1 . Hence, we obtain pure 11-edges of lengths $2, 3, \dots, q-p$ and $q+p+1, q+p+2, \dots, k-1$.

Case 4. Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2, 2k-m-1)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, where m is even, $2 \leq m \leq k-2$ and $m-2=2p$. Furthermore, let $A=0_0, a=0_1, b=(2k)_1, B=k_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length 0 and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 1$. We attach each vertex from the sets $\{2_0, 3_0, \dots, (q-p)_0\}$, $\{(q+p+1)_0, (q+p+2)_0, \dots, k_0\}$, and $\{(k+q-p+1)_0, (k+q-p+2)_0, \dots, (k+q+p)_0, (2k)_0\}$, and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1\}$ by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain pure 00-edges of lengths $2, 3, \dots, q-p$ and $q+p+1, q+p+2, \dots, k$, and $q+p, q+p-1, \dots, q-p+1$, and 1, further mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Now we join the vertices from the set $\{(q-p+1)_0, (q-p+2)_0, \dots, (q+p)_0\}$ by an edge each to 0_1 and the vertices 1_0 and $(k+1)_0$ by edges to 0_1 . We have mixed edges of lengths $3q+p, 3q+p-1, \dots, 3q-p+1$ and $2k, k$. Finally we attach every vertex from the sets $\{(k+2)_0, (k+3)_0, \dots, (k+q-p)_0\}$, $\{(k+q+p+1)_0, (k+q+p+2)_0, \dots, (2k-1)_0\}$ and $\{(k+2)_1, (k+3)_1, \dots, (2k-1)_1\}$ by an edge to k_1 and we obtain mixed edges of lengths $2k-1, 2k-2, \dots, 3q+p+1$ and $3q-p, 3q-p-1, \dots, k+2$.

It is not difficult to check that in every previous case the caterpillar R has a blended ρ -labeling. \square

Lemma 3.6. *A $(2k+1, m+2, 2k-m-1, 2)$ -caterpillar allows a blended ρ -labeling for every m , $1 \leq m \leq 2k-5$, and every odd k , $k \geq 3$.*

Proof. By constructions.

Case 1. Let $k=2q+1$ and let m be even if $2 \leq m \leq k-3$, or m be odd if $k-2 \leq m \leq 2k-5$.

Subcase 1.1 Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2k-m-1, 2)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, where $m=2p$ and $2 \leq m \leq k-3$. Furthermore, let $A=0_0, a=k_1, b=0_1, B=(2k-1)_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length k and pure 11-edges

of lengths $k, 2$. We attach each vertex from the sets $\{(k+1)_0, (k+2)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1\}$ by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain pure 00-edges of lengths $k, k-1, \dots, 1$ and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Now we join k_0 by an edge to k_1 . We have a mixed edge of length 0. Then we attach each vertex from the set $\{(k+q-p+2)_1, (k+q-p+3)_1, \dots, (k+q+p)_1\}$ by an edge to k_1 and each vertex from the sets $\{(k+2)_1, (k+3)_1, (k+4)_1, \dots, (k+q-p+1)_1\}$ and $\{(k+q+p+1)_1, (k+q+p+2)_1, \dots, (2k-2)_1\}$ by an edge to 0_1 . Hence, we obtain pure 11-edges of lengths $q-p+2, q-p+3, \dots, q+p$ and 11-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, q+p+1$, and $q-p+1, q-p, \dots, 3$. Thus we have 11-edges of lengths $3, 4, \dots, q$ and $q+2, q+3, \dots, k-1$. Finally we add the 11-edge $((2k-1)_1, (2k)_1)$ of length 1.

Subcase 1.2 Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2k-m-1, 2)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2, k=2q+1$, vertices, where m is odd, $k-2 \leq m \leq 2k-5, m-(k-3)=2p+1$. Furthermore, let $A=0_0, a=k_1, b=0_1, B=(2k-1)_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length k and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 2$. We attach $(k+1)_0$ and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, (k-1)_1, (k+1)_1\}$ each by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain a pure 00-edge of length k and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1$. Now we join $k_0, (k+3)_1, (k+4)_1, \dots, (2k-2)_1$ by an edge each to k_1 . We have a mixed edge of length 0 and 11-edges of lengths $k-2, k-3, \dots, 3$. Further we join each vertex from the sets $\{1_0, 2_0, \dots, (q-p)_0\}, \{(q+p+2)_0, (q+p+3)_0, \dots, (k-1)_0\}$ by an edge to the vertex 0_1 and each vertex from the set $\{(k+q-p+1)_0, (k+q-p+2)_0, \dots, (k+q+p+1)_0\}$ to the vertex k_1 . Recall that $m-(k-1)=2p+1$. In this way, we obtain mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, 3q+p+3$ and $3q-p+1, 3q-p, \dots, k+2$, and $3q+p+2, 3q+p+1, \dots, 3q-p+2$. Thus we have mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k-1, \dots, k+2$. Now we attach every vertex from the set $\{(q-p+1)_0, (q-p+2)_0, \dots, (q+p+1)_0\}$ by an edge to 0_0 . Then we join all vertices from the sets $\{(k+2)_0, (k+3)_0, \dots, (k+q-p)_0\}$ and $\{(k+q+p+2)_0, (k+q+p+3)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ each by an edge also to 0_0 . We obtain 00-edges of lengths $q-p+1, q-p+2, \dots, q+p+1$ and $k-1, k-2, \dots, q+p+2$, and $q-p, q-p-1, \dots, 1$. Hence, we have pure 00-edges of lengths $k-1, k-2, \dots, 1$. Finally we attach the vertex $(2k)_1$ by an edge to $(2k-1)_1$ and $(k+2)_1$ to 0_1 . In this way, we obtain 11-edges of lengths 1 and $k-1$.

It is easy to check that both previous $(2k+1, m+2, 2k-m-1, 2)$ -caterpillars have a blended ρ -labeling and the vertices of the spine of R are of the desired degrees.

Case 2. Let $k=2q+1$ and let m be odd if $1 \leq m \leq k-4$ or even if $k-3 \leq m \leq 2k-6$. If we replace the 11-edge $(k_1, (k+q+1)_1)$ of length $q+1$ by the 11-edge $(0_1, (k+q+1)_1)$ of length $q+1$ in the constructions in *Subcase 1.1* and *Subcase 1.2*, then we obtain the proof for this case. \square

Lemma 3.7. *A $(2k+1, m+2, 2k-m-1, 2)$ -caterpillar allows a blended ρ -labeling for every $m, 1 \leq m \leq 2k-5$, and every even $k, k \geq 3$.*

Proof. By constructions. Let $k=2q$.

Case 1. Let R be a $(2k+1, m+2, 2k-m-1, 2)$ -caterpillar on $4k+2$ vertices, where m

is even, $2 \leq m \leq k - 4$ and $m = 2p$. Furthermore, let $A = 0_0, a = (k + 1)_1, b = 0_1, B = (2k - 1)_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length $k + 1$ and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 2$. We attach each vertex of the sets $\{k_0, (k + 2)_0, (k + 3)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$ and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, k_1\}$ by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain a pure 00-edge of lengths $k, k - 1, k - 2, \dots, 1$ and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k$. Now we join the vertices $(k + 2)_1$ and $(k + 3)_1$ each by an edge to 0_1 . Then we join each vertex from the sets $\{(k + 4)_1, (k + 5)_1, \dots, (k + q - p + 1)_1\}$, $\{(k + q + p + 1)_1, (k + q + p + 2)_1, \dots, (2k - 2)_1\}$ and $\{1_0, 2_0, \dots, (k - 1)_0\}$ by an edge also to 0_1 . We have pure 11-edges of lengths $k - 1, k - 2, \dots, q + p$ and $q - p, q - p - 1, \dots, 3$ and the mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k - 1, \dots, k + 2$. Further we attach each vertex from the set $\{(k + q - p + 2)_1, (k + q - p + 3)_1, \dots, (k + q + p)_1\}$ by an edge to $(k + 1)_1$ and we have 11-edges of lengths $q - p + 1, q - p + 2, \dots, q + p - 1$. Finally we join the vertex $(2k)_1$ to $(2k - 1)_1$ and $(k + 1)_0$ to $(k + 1)_1$. We obtain a 11-edge of length 1 and a mixed edge of length 0.

If we replace 11-edge $((k + 1)_1, (k + q + 1)_1)$ of length q by the edge $(0_1, (k + q + 1)_1)$ of length q , then we obtain the construction for every m odd, $1 \leq m \leq k - 5$.

Case 2. Let R be a $(2k + 1, m + 2, 2k - m - 1, 2)$ -caterpillar on $4k + 2$ vertices, where m is odd, $k - 3 \leq m \leq 2k - 5$ and $m - (k - 4) = 2p + 1$. Furthermore, let $A = 0_0, a = (k + 1)_1, b = 0_1, B = (2k - 1)_1$. Thus the spine of R contains a mixed edge of length $k + 1$ and pure 11-edges of lengths $k, 2$. We attach each vertex of the sets $\{(q - p)_0, (q - p + 1)_0, \dots, (q + p)_0\}$, $\{(k + 2)_0, (k + 3)_0, \dots, (k + q - p)_0\}$, $\{(k + q + p + 2)_0, (k + q + p + 3)_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}$, and $\{1_1, 2_1, \dots, k_1\}$ and the vertex k_0 , by an edge to 0_0 . We obtain pure 00-edges of lengths $q - p, q - p + 1, \dots, q + p$, and $k - 1, k - 2, \dots, q + p + 1$, and $q - p - 1, q - p - 2, \dots, 1$ and k , and mixed edges of lengths $1, 2, \dots, k$. Now we join every vertex from the sets $\{k + 2)_1, (k + 3)_1\}$, $\{1_0, 2_0, \dots, (q - p - 1)_0\}$ and $\{(q + p + 1)_0, (q + p + 2)_0, \dots, (k - 1)_0\}$ by an edge to 0_1 . We have pure 11-edges of lengths $k - 1, k - 2$ and mixed edges of lengths $2k, 2k - 1, \dots, 3q + p + 2$ and $3q - p, 3q - p - 1, \dots, k + 2$. Further we attach each vertex from the sets $\{(k + q - p + 1)_0, (k + q - p + 2)_0, \dots, (k + q + p + 1)_0\}$ and $\{(k + 4)_1, (k + 3)_1, \dots, (2k - 2)_1\}$ by an edge to $(k + 1)_1$. We obtain mixed edges of lengths $3q + p + 1, 3q + p, \dots, 3q - p + 1$ and 11-edges of lengths $k - 3, k - 4, \dots, 3$. Finally we join the vertex $(2k)_1$ to $(2k - 1)_1$ and $(k + 1)_0$ to $(k + 1)_1$. In this way, we obtain a 11-edge of length 1 and a mixed edge of length 0.

If we replace 11-edge $((k + 1)_1, (k + q + 1)_1)$ of length q by the edge $(0_1, (k + q + 1)_1)$ of length q , then we obtain the construction for every m even, $k - 4 \leq m \leq 2k - 6$.

We see that in both previous cases the $(2k + 1, m + 2, 2k - m - 1, 2)$ -caterpillars have a blended ρ -labeling and the vertices of the spine have the correct degrees. \square

Lemma 3.8. *A $(2k + 1, m + 2, 2k - m - 1, 2)$ -caterpillar on $4k + 2$ vertices allows a blended ρ -labeling for every $k \geq 3$ if $m = 2k - 4$.*

Proof. By construction. Let R be a $(2k + 1, 2k - 2, 3, 2)$ -caterpillar on $4k + 2$ vertices, where $V(R) = V_0 \cup V_1, V_0 = \{0_0, 1_0, \dots, (2k)_0\}, V_1 = \{0_1, 1_1, \dots, (2k)_1\}$ and $A = 0_0, a = 0_1,$

$b = (2k - 2)_1, B = (2k - 1)_1$, which contains

- (i) pure 00-edges $(0_0, 1_0)$ and $(0_0, (k + 1)_0), (0_0, (k + 2)), \dots, (0_0, (2k - 1)_0)$ of lengths 1 and $k, k - 1, \dots, 2$,
- 1. (ii) pure 11-edges $((2k - 2)_1, (2k - 1)_1), ((2k - 2)_1, (2k)_1)$ and $(0_1, (k + 1)_1), (0_1, (k + 2)_1), \dots, (0_1, (2k - 2)_1)$ of lengths 1, 2 and $k, k - 1, \dots, 3$, and
- (iii) mixed edges $(0_1, 2_0), (0_1, 3_0), \dots, (0_1, k_0)$ of lengths $2k - 1, 2k - 2, \dots, k + 1$ and $(0_0, 0_1), (0_0, 1_1), \dots, (0_0, k_1)$, and $((2k)_0, (2k - 1)_1)$ of lengths 0, 1, \dots, k and $2k$.

We can see that R is a $(2k + 1, 2k - 2, 3, 2)$ -caterpillar with a blended labeling. \square

By now we have in fact proved Theorem 2.1, as we have covered all cases. We state the proof formally below.

Proof of Theorem 2.1

- (1) A $(2k + 1, 2, r, s)$ -caterpillar of order $4k + 2$ and diameter 5 does not factorize K_{4k+2} for every $2 < r, s < 2k - 1$, $r + s = 2k + 1$ and $k \geq 3$. It follows from Theorem 3.1.
- (2) A $(2k + 1, r, 2, s)$ -caterpillar of order $4k + 2$ and diameter 5 allows a blended labeling and therefore it factorizes K_{4k+2} for every $2 < r, s < 2k - 1$, $r + s = 2k + 1$ and $k \geq 3$. It follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5.
- (3) A $(2k + 1, r, s, 2)$ -caterpillar of order $4k + 2$ and diameter 5 allows a blended labeling and therefore it factorizes K_{4k+2} for every $2 < r, s < 2k - 1$, $r + s = 2k + 1$ and $k \geq 3$. It follows from Lemmas 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.

\square

Acknowledgement

I thank the referee for pointing out a mistake in the previous version.

References

- [1] P. Eldergill, *Decompositions of the complete graph with an even number of vertices*, M.Sc. thesis, McMaster University, Hamilton, 1997.

- [2] S. El-Zanati and C. Vanden Eynden, Factorizations of $K_{m,n}$ into spanning trees, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **15** (1999), 287–293.
- [3] D. Fronček, Cyclic decompositions of complete graphs into spanning trees, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, **24**(2004), 345–353.
- [4] D. Fronček, *Bi-cyclic decompositions of complete graphs into spanning trees*, (Submitted.)
- [5] D. Fronček, *Note on factorization of complete graphs into caterpillars with small diameters*, (Preprint).
- [6] D. Fronček and M. Kubesa, Factorizations of complete graphs into spanning trees, *Congressus Numerantium*, **154**, (2002), 125–134.
- [7] M. Kubesa, Spanning tree factorizations of complete graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **52**(2005), 33–49.
- [8] M. Kubesa, Factorizations of complete graphs into $[r, s, 2, 2]$ -caterpillars of diameter 5, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **54**(2005), 187–193.
- [9] A. Rosa, *Cyclic decompositions of complete graphs*, Ph.D. thesis, Slovak Academy of Science, Bratislava, 1965
- [10] A. Rosa, *On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph*, Theory of Graphs (Intl. Symp. Rome 1966), Gordon and Breach, Dunod, Paris, 1967, 349–355.
- [11] Y. Shibata and Y. Seki, The isomorphic factorization of complete bipartite graphs into trees, *Ars Combin.*, **33**(1992), 3–25.