

## ORTHOGONAL $\sigma$ -LABELLINGS OF GRAPHS

R. SAMPATHKUMAR AND V. SRIRAM

Department of Mathematics

Annamalai University

Annamalainagar - 608 002, Tamilnadu, India.

e-mail: *sampathmath@gmail.com*

Communicated by: S. Arumugam

Received 27 July 2006; accepted 11 February 2008

---

### Abstract

We introduce a special kind of orthogonal labelling, called, orthogonal  $\sigma$ -labelling, and we find some applications of it to orthogonal labellings of graphs.

---

**Keywords:** Cyclic orthogonal double covers of graphs.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C70, 05C78.

### 1. Introduction

All the graphs we deal with are undirected, finite and simple. Let  $K_n$  be the complete graph on an  $n$ -element vertex set  $V$ . A collection  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i : i \in V\}$  of  $n$  subgraphs of  $K_n$  is an *orthogonal double cover* (briefly *ODC*) of  $K_n$  if it has the following properties:

1. *Double cover property:*

Every edge of  $K_n$  belongs to exactly two of the subgraphs in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

2. *Orthogonality property:*

For any two distinct subgraphs  $G_i$  and  $G_j$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $|E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)| = 1$ .

Since the number  $n$  of subgraphs in an ODC is the same as the number of vertices of  $K_n$ , it follows that each  $G_i$  must have  $n - 1$  edges. If all the subgraphs  $G_i$ ,  $i \in V$ , are isomorphic to some graph  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is called an *ODC of  $K_n$  by  $G$* .

Gronau, Mullin and Rosa conjectured the following:

**Conjecture 1.1.** [1] *If  $T$  is an arbitrary tree with  $n$  vertices,  $n \geq 2$ , other than the path  $P_4$  with 3 edges, then there exists an ODC of  $K_n$  by  $T$ .*

An ODC  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $K_n$  by  $G$  is called *cyclic* (*CODC*) if the cyclic group of order  $n$  is a subgroup of the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Let  $V(K_n) = \mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , the set of integers modulo  $n$ . The *length* of an edge  $xy$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , is defined as  $\ell(xy) = \min\{|x - y|, n - |x - y|\}$ . Consider

two edges  $e_1 = \{x_1, y_1\}$  and  $e_2 = \{x_2, y_2\}$ ,  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , with  $\ell(e_1) = \ell(e_2)$ . Their *rotation-distance*  $r(e_1, e_2)$  is defined to be the shorter one of the two rotation mappings  $e_1$  onto  $e_2$  that is  $r(e_1, e_2) = \min \{r_1, r_2 : \{(x_1 + r_1) \bmod n, (y_1 + r_1) \bmod n\} = \{x_2, y_2\}, \{(x_2 + r_2) \bmod n, (y_2 + r_2) \bmod n\} = \{x_1, y_1\}\}$ .

A 1-1 mapping  $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$  of a simple graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|E| = n - 1$  is called an *orthogonal labelling* (*OL*) of  $G$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. For every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ ,  $G$  contains exactly two edges of length  $k$ , and exactly one edge of length  $\frac{n}{2}$  if  $n$  is even.
2. The set of all rotation-distances form a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ .

The following theorem of Gronau, Mullin and Rosa relates *CODCs* and *OLs*.

**Theorem 1.2.** [1] *A CODC of  $K_n$  by a graph  $G$  exists if and only if there exists an OL of  $G$ .*

In this paper, we introduce a special kind of *OL*, called orthogonal  $\sigma$ -labelling, a concept similar to the  $\sigma$ -valuation introduced by Rosa [5] in 1966. In Section 2, we find applications of orthogonal  $\sigma$ -labellings of graphs to *OLs* of graphs, and in Section 3, we find *OLs* for some caterpillars of diameter 4.

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph. For  $v \in V$  and for any positive integer  $r$ , we denote by  $G(v; r)$ , the simple graph obtained from  $G$  by adding  $r$  new vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  and adding  $r$  new edges  $vv_1, \dots, vv_r$ . For  $u, v \in V$ ,  $u \neq v$ , and for any positive integer  $r$ , we denote by  $G(u, v; r)$ , the simple graph obtained from  $G$  by adding  $2r$  new vertices  $u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_r$  and adding  $2r$  new edges  $uu_1, \dots, uu_r, vv_1, \dots, vv_r$ .

## 2. Orthogonal $\sigma$ -labelling

An *OL*  $\phi$  of  $G$  is called an *orthogonal  $\sigma$ -labelling* (*O $\sigma$ L*) if  $\ell(xy) = |x - y|$  for each edge  $xy$  in  $G$  and the calculated rotation-distances are not modular, that is, if  $r(e_1, e_2) = r_1$ , then  $\{(x_1 + r_1) \bmod n, (y_1 + r_1) \bmod n\} = \{x_1 + r_1, y_1 + r_1\}$  and if  $r(e_1, e_2) = r_2$ , then  $\{(x_2 + r_2) \bmod n, (y_2 + r_2) \bmod n\} = \{x_2 + r_2, y_2 + r_2\}$ .

In this paper, we define only the labelling and the verification that it is an *OL* (resp. *O $\sigma$ L*) is omitted.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph with  $n = |V| = |E| + 1$  and let  $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$  be an *O $\sigma$ L* of  $G$ . Then, for any positive integer  $r$ , the following conditions hold:*

1. *If  $n$  is odd and  $\phi(v) = \frac{n-1}{2}$  for some  $v \in V$ , then  $G(v; r)$  has an *OL*.*
2. *If  $n$  is even and there is an edge  $e = uv$  with  $\ell(e) = \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\phi(v) \in \{\frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2}\}$ , then  $G(v; r)$  has an *OL*.*
3. *If  $n$  is even and there is an edge  $e = uv$  with  $\ell(e) = \frac{n}{2}$  and  $\phi(v) \in \{\frac{n}{2} - 2, \frac{n}{2} + 1\}$ , then there exists a vertex  $v^*$  such that  $G(v, v^*; r)$  has an *OL*.*

*Proofs of 1 and 2.* Define  $\Psi : V(G(v; r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n+r}$  by  $\Psi(x) = \phi(x)$  if  $x \in V$  and for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $\Psi(v_i) = n + i - 1$ .

*Proof of 3.* By hypothesis,  $\phi(v) \in \{\frac{n}{2} - 2, \frac{n}{2} + 1\}$ . We consider two cases.

**Case 1.**  $\phi(v) = \frac{n}{2} - 2$ .

Then  $\phi(u) = n - 2$ , since  $\phi$  is an O $\sigma$ L. There exists a vertex  $v^*$  such that  $\phi(v^*) = \frac{n}{2}$ , since  $\phi$  is onto. Define  $\Psi : V(G(v, v^*; r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n+2r}$  by  $\Psi(x) = \phi(x)$  if  $x \in V$  and for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $\Psi(v_i) = n + 2i - 2$  and  $\Psi(v_i^*) = n + 2i - 1$ .

**Case 2.**  $\phi(v) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ .

Then  $\phi(u) = 1$ , since  $\phi$  is an O $\sigma$ L. There exists a vertex  $v^*$  such that  $\phi(v^*) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ , since  $\phi$  is onto. Define  $\Psi : V(G(v, v^*; r)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n+2r}$  by  $\Psi(x) = \phi(x)$  if  $x \in V$  and for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $\Psi(v_i) = n + 2i - 1$  and  $\Psi(v_i^*) = n + 2i - 2$ .  $\square$

### 3. Orthogonal labellings of caterpillars of diameter 4

The caterpillar  $C(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$  is the tree obtained from the path  $P_r := u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r$  by joining the vertex  $u_i$  to  $n_i$  new vertices  $u_{i,1}, u_{i,2}, \dots, u_{i,n_i}$  for each  $i$ . We assume that  $n_i \geq 0$  for  $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r - 1\}$ ,  $n_1 \geq 1$  and  $n_r \geq 1$ . Clearly,  $C(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$  is of diameter  $r + 1$ .

If  $T$  is a caterpillar of diameter 2, then  $r = 1$  and hence it is a star. Any bijection  $\phi : V(C(n_1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n_1+1}$  is an OL of  $C(n_1)$ .

In [2], Leck and Leck showed that the caterpillar  $C(n_1, n_2)$  of diameter 3 has an OL if and only if  $n_1 + n_2 + 2$  and  $n_1 n_2$  are not relatively prime. Gronau, Mullin and Rosa [1], have constructed ODCs for all caterpillars of diameter 3, except  $P_4$ .

Gronau, Mullin and Rosa [1], obtained an OL for the caterpillar  $C(2k, 1, 2k)$ . They also observed that  $C(2, 2, 2)$  and  $C(2, 3, 2)$  have no OL. Sampathkumar and Simaringa [4], obtained OLs for  $C(1, 2(k+t) + 1, 2k)$ ,  $C(4, 4k + 1, 4)$  and  $C(2k, 4k - 3, 2k)$ . Leck and Leck [3], obtained an OL for  $C(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  if  $|n_1 - n_3| \geq n_2$ . In this section, we extend the class of caterpillars of diameter 4 for which an OL is known to exist. In [3], Leck and Leck have constructed ODCs for all caterpillars of diameter 4.

1. There exists an OL of  $C(1, n_2, n_3)$  for  $n_2 > n_3$  and  $n_3 \geq 2$ .

*Proof.*  $\phi : V(C(1, n_3 + 1, n_3)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2n_3+5}$  defined by  $\phi(u_1) = 2$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,1}) = n_3 + 4$ ,  $\phi(u_2) = n_3 + 2$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,1}) = 0$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,2}) = 1$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,i}) = i$  for  $i \in \{3, 4, \dots, n_3 + 1\}$ ,  $\phi(u_3) = n_3 + 3$  and  $\phi(u_{3,i}) = n_3 + 4 + i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_3\}$  is an O $\sigma$ L of  $C(1, n_3 + 1, n_3)$ . By Theorem 2.1 (1),  $(C(1, n_3 + 1, n_3))(u_2; n_2 - n_3 - 1) \cong C(1, n_2, n_3)$  has an OL.  $\square$

2. There exists an OL of  $C(2, n_2, 2)$  for  $n_2 \geq 4$ .

*Proof.*  $\phi : V(C(2, 4, 2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{11}$  defined by  $\phi(u_1) = 2$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,1}) = 0$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,2}) = 6$ ,  $\phi(u_2) = 5$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,1}) = 1$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,2}) = 4$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,3}) = 7$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,4}) = 10$ ,  $\phi(u_3) = 8$ ,  $\phi(u_{3,1}) = 3$  and  $\phi(u_{3,2}) = 9$  is an O $\sigma$ L of  $C(2, 4, 2)$ . By Theorem 2.1 (1),  $(C(2, 4, 2))(u_2; n_2 - 4) \cong C(2, n_2, 2)$ ,  $n_2 \geq 5$ , has an OL.  $\square$

3. There exists an OL of  $C(2, n_2, 3)$  for  $n_2 \geq 3$ .

*Proof.*  $\phi : V(C(2, 3, 3)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{11}$  defined by  $\phi(u_1) = 4$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,1}) = 6$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,2}) = 9$ ,  $\phi(u_2) = 5$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,1}) = 0$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,2}) = 1$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,3}) = 2$ ,  $\phi(u_3) = 7$ ,  $\phi(u_{3,1}) = 3$ ,  $\phi(u_{3,2}) = 8$  and  $\phi(u_{3,3}) = 10$  is an O $\sigma$ L of  $C(2, 3, 3)$ . By Theorem 2.1 (1),  $(C(2, 3, 3))(u_2; n_2 - 3) \cong C(2, n_2, 3)$ ,  $n_2 \geq 4$ , has an OL.  $\square$

4. There exists an OL of  $C(1, n_2, 1)$  for  $n_2 \geq 1$ .

*Proof.*  $\phi : V(C(1, 1, 1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6$  defined by  $\phi(u_1) = 2$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,1}) = 4$ ,  $\phi(u_2) = 3$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,1}) = 5$ ,  $\phi(u_3) = 0$  and  $\phi(u_{3,1}) = 1$  is an O $\sigma$ L of  $C(1, 1, 1)$ . By Theorem 2.1 (2),  $(C(1, 1, 1))(u_2; n_2 - 1) \cong C(1, n_2, 1)$ ,  $n_2 \geq 2$ , has an OL.  $\square$

5. There exists an OL of  $C(1, 4, n_3)$  for  $n_3 \geq 4$ .

*Proof.*  $\phi : V(C(1, 4, 4)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{12}$  defined by  $\phi(u_1) = 3$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,1}) = 8$ ,  $\phi(u_2) = 5$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,1}) = 1$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,2}) = 2$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,3}) = 4$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,4}) = 7$ ,  $\phi(u_3) = 6$ ,  $\phi(u_{3,1}) = 0$ ,  $\phi(u_{3,2}) = 9$ ,  $\phi(u_{3,3}) = 10$  and  $\phi(u_{3,4}) = 11$  is an O $\sigma$ L of  $C(1, 4, 4)$ . By Theorem 2.1 (2),  $(C(1, 4, 4))(u_3; n_3 - 4) \cong C(1, 4, n_3)$ ,  $n_3 \geq 5$ , has an OL.  $\square$

6. There exists an OL of  $C(1, k, k)$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

*Proof.*  $\phi : V(C(1, 2, 2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8$  defined by  $\phi(u_1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(u_{1,1}) = 0$ ,  $\phi(u_2) = 5$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,1}) = 2$ ,  $\phi(u_{2,2}) = 7$ ,  $\phi(u_3) = 3$ ,  $\phi(u_{3,1}) = 4$  and  $\phi(u_{3,2}) = 6$  is an O $\sigma$ L of  $C(1, 2, 2)$ . By Theorem 2.1 (3),  $(C(1, 2, 2))(u_2, u_3; k - 2) \cong C(1, k, k)$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , has an OL.  $\square$

In conclusion, we pose the following problem:

**Problem.** Which caterpillars  $C(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  admit an OL?

## References

- [1] H.-D.O.F. Gronau, R.C. Mullin and A. Rosa, Orthogonal double covers of complete graphs by trees, *Graphs Combin.*, **13** (1997), 251-262.
- [2] U. Leck and V. Leck, On orthogonal double covers by trees, *J. Combin. Designs*, **5** (1997), 433-441.
- [3] U. Leck and V. Leck, Orthogonal double covers of complete graphs by trees of small diameter, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **95** (1999), 377-388.
- [4] R. Sampathkumar and M. Simaringa, Orthogonal labellings of caterpillars of small diameter, *Bulletin of the ICA*, **44** (2005), 29-36.
- [5] A. Rosa, *On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph*, *Theory of Graphs* (Internat. Symposium, Rome, July 1966), Gordon and Breach, N.Y. and Dunod Paris (1967), 349-355.